

Mesh Models

(Chapter 8)

1. Overview of Mesh and Related models.
 - a. Diameter:
 - The linear array is $O(n)$, which is large.
 - The mesh as diameter $O(\sqrt{n})$, which is significantly smaller.
 - b. The size of the diameter is significant for problems requiring frequent long-range data transfers.
 - c. Some advantages of 2-D Mesh.
 - Maximum degree is 4.
 - Has a regular topology (i.e., is same at all points except for boundaries).
 - Easily extended by row or column additions.
 - d. Disadvantages of the 2-D Mesh.
 - Diameter is still large.

e. Mesh of Trees and Pyramids.

- Combines mesh and tree models
- Both have a diameter of $O(\lg n)$.
- These models will not be covered in this course.

2. Row-Major Sort

- a.** Suppose we are given a 2-D mesh with m rows and n columns.
- b.** Assume the $N = n \times m$ processors are indexed by row-major ordering:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} P_0 & P_1 & \cdot & \cdot & P_{n-1} \\ P_n & P_{n+1} & \cdot & \cdot & P_{2n-1} \\ P_{2n} & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & P_{3n-1} \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ P_{n^2-n} & P_{n^2-n+1} & \cdot & \cdot & P_{n^2-1} \end{array}$$

- Note that processor P_i is in row j and column k if and only if $i = jn + k$, where $0 \leq k < n$.

- c. A sequence $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\}$ of values in a 2-D mesh with x_i in P_i is said to be sorted if
$$x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_{n-1}.$$

3. The 0-1 Principle

- a. Let A be an algorithm that performs a *predetermined sequence* of comparison-exchanges on a set of N numbers.
- b. Each comparison-exchange compares two numbers and determines whether to exchange them, based on the outcome of the comparison.
- c. The **0-1 principle** states that if A correctly sorts all 2^N sequences of length N of 0's and 1's, then it correctly sorts any sequence of N arbitrary numbers.
- d. The 0-1 principle occurred earlier in text as Problem 3.2.
- e. Examples of sorts satisfying this predetermined condition include

- odd-even sort
 - linear array sort of last chapter.
- f. Examples of sorts not satisfying this condition include
- Quick Sort (comparisons made depends upon values)
 - Bubble Sort (Stopping depends upon comparisons)
- g. Proof: (0-1 Principle)
- Let $T = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ be an unsorted sequence.
 - Let $S = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$ be a sorted version of T .
 - Suppose A is an algorithm that sorts all sequences of 0's and 1's correctly.
 - However, assume that A applied to T incorrectly produces $T' = \{y'_1, y'_2, \dots, y'_n\}$.
 - Let j be the smallest index such that $y'_j \neq y_j$.
 - Then, we have the following:

- $y'_i = y_i \leq y_j$ for $0 \leq i \leq j$
 - $y'_j > y_j$
 - $y'_k = y_j$ for some $k > j$.
- We create a sequence Z of 0's and 1's from T (using y_j as a spitting value) as follows: For $i = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$ let
 - $z_i = 0$ if $x_i \leq y_j$
 - $z_i = 1$ if $x_i > y_j$
- Then for each pair of indices i and m ,

$$x_i \leq x_m \text{ implies that } z_i \leq z_m$$
- When Algorithm A is applied to sequence Z , the comparison results are the same as when it is applied to T , so the same action is taken at each step.
- If Algorithm A produces Z' from Z , then the corresponding values of Z' and T' are

$$Z' = \{ 0 \quad \dots \quad 0 \quad 1 \quad \dots \quad 0 \quad \dots \}$$

$$T' = \{ y'_0 \quad \dots \quad y'_{j-1} \quad y'_j \quad \dots \quad y'_k \quad \dots \}$$

- This establishes that Algorithm A also does not sort sequences of 0's and 1's correctly, which is a contradiction.

4. Transposition Sort:

- a. The transposition sort is really a sort for linear arrays. It is used here to sort columns and rows of the 2D mesh.
- b. Note that unlike sorts in last chapter, it assumes the data to be sorted is initially located in the PEs and sort does not involve any I/O.
- c. Assume that P_0, P_1, \dots, P_{N-1} is a linear array of PEs with x_i in P_i for each i . This sort must sort $S = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}\}$ into a sequence $S' = \{y_0, y_1, \dots, y_{N-1}\}$ with

y_i in P_i .

d. Linear Array Transposition Sort:

- i. For $j = 0$ to $N - 1$ do
- ii. For $i = 0$ to $N - 2$ do
- iii. if $i \bmod 2 = j \bmod 2$
- iv. then
- compare-exchange(P_i, P_{i+1})
- v. endif
- vi. endfor
- vii. endfor

e. The table below illustrates the initial action of this algorithm when $S = \{1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0\}$.

| time | P_0 | P_1 | P_2 | P_3 | P_4 | P_5 | P_6 | P_7 |
|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| $u=0$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $u=1$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $u=3$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $u=4$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| $u=5$ | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |

- Notice in the 1st pass, (*even*, *even* + 1) exchanges are made, while in the 2nd pass, (*odd*, *odd* + 1) exchanges occur.
 - Once a 1 moves right, it continues to move right at each step until it reaches its destination.
 - Once a 0 moves left, it continues to move left at each step until it is in place
- f. Correctness is established using the 0-1 principle.
- Assume a sequence Z of 0's and 1's are stored in P_0, P_1, \dots, P_{N-1} with one element per PE.
 - As in above example, the algorithm moves the 1's only to the right and the 0's only to the left.
 - Suppose 0's occurs q times in the sequence and 1's occur

$N - q$ times.

- Assume the worst case, in which all 1's initially lie to the left and $N - q$ (i.e., the number of 1's) is even.
- Then, the rightmost 1 (in P_{N-q-1}) moves right during the second iteration, or when $j = 1$ in the algorithm.
- This allows the second rightmost 1 to move right when $j = 2$.
- This continues until the 1 in P_0 moves right when $j = N - q$.
- This leftmost 1 travels right at each iteration afterwards and reaches its destination P_q in $q - 1$ steps.
- Since $j = 0$ initially, in the worst case

$$(N - q + 1) + (q - 1) = N$$

compare-exchanges are

needed.

5. ***Mesh Sort (Thomas Leighton): Preliminaries***

- a. *Alternate Reference*: F. Thomas Leighton, Introduction to Parallel Algorithms and Architectures: Arrays, Trees, Hypercubes, Morgan Kaufmann, 1992, pg 139-153
- b. Initial Agreements:
 - The 0-1 Principle allows us to restrict our attention to sorting only 0's and 1's.
 - The Linear Array Transportation Sort (called "Sort" here) will be used for sorting rows and columns in Mesh Sort.
 - The presentation is simpler if we assume the matrix has m -row and n -column mesh, where
 - $m = 2^s$

- $n = \sqrt{n} \times \sqrt{n} = 2^r \times 2^r = 2^{2r}$
 - $s \geq r$
- **Observe:**
 - $N = m \times n = 2^{2r+s}$
 - $\sqrt{n} = 2^r \leq 2^s = m$
 - $m/\sqrt{n} = 2^{s-r} \geq 1$ and this value is an integer, so \sqrt{n} divides m evenly
 - Above assumptions allow us to partition the matrix into submatrices of size $\sqrt{n} \times \sqrt{n}$

c. **Region Definitions**

- **Horizontal slice:** As shown in Figure 8.4(a), the m rows can be partitioned evenly into horizontal strips, each with \sqrt{n} rows, since

$$m/\sqrt{n} = 2^{s-r} \geq 1$$

- **Vertical Slice:** As shown in Figure 8.4(b), a vertical slice is a submesh with m rows and \sqrt{n}

columns.

- There are \sqrt{n} of these vertical slices.
- **Block:** As shown in Figure 8.4(c), a block is the intersection of some vertical slice with some horizontal slice.
 - Each block is a $\sqrt{n} \times \sqrt{n}$ submesh.




Figure 8.4: Dividing a mesh into submeshes: (a) Horizontal slice, (b) Vertical slice, (c) Block.

- **Uniform Region:** A row, horizontal slice, vertical slice, or

block consisting either of all 0's or all 1's.

- **Non-uniform Region:** A row, horizontal slice, vertical slice, or block containing a mixture of 0's and 1's.

d. *Observation:* When the sorting algorithm terminates, the mesh consists of zero or more uniform rows filled with 0's, followed by at most one non-uniform row, followed by zero or more uniform rows filled with 1's.

6. *Three Basic Operations*

a. **Operation BALANCE:**

- Applied to a horizontal or vertical slice.
- *Effect of BALANCE:* In a $v \times w$ mesh, the number of 0's and 1's are balanced among the w columns, leaving at most $\min\{v, w\}$ non-uniform rows after the columns are sorted.

- Since this is obviously true if $v < w$. In this case, we normally will apply BALANCE to the $w \times v$ mesh of w rows and v columns instead.
 - We consider the $v \times w$ mesh case where $v > w$.
- **Three Steps** of BALANCE Operation:
 - i. Sort each column in nondecreasing order using SORT.
 - ii. Shift i^{th} row of submesh cyclically $i \bmod w$ positions right.
 - iii. Sort each column in nondecreasing order using SORT.
- Step (i) pushes all 0's to the top and all 1's to the bottom of the w columns.
- Effect of Cyclic Shift in Step (ii)

on first element of each row:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} a_{1,1} & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & a_{2,1} & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & a_{3,1} \\ a_{4,1} & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & a_{5,1} & \cdot \end{array}$$

- Overall effect of Steps (i-ii) is to spread the 0's and 1's from each column across all w columns.
- Suppose i, j , and k are distinct columns in the submesh.
 - Step (ii) spreads the elements of column k among all columns.
 - The number of 0's received from column k by columns i and j differ at most by 1.
 - Likewise, the number of

1's that columns i and j receive from column k differ at most by 1.

- *Summary:* After Step (ii), the number of 0's (respectively, the number of 1's) in columns i and j can differ at most by w .
- **Combined Effect on submatrix:** Following Step (iii),
 - at most w rows are non-uniform
 - the non-uniform rows are consecutive and separate uniform rows of 0's from uniform rows of 1's.
- **Example:** If the height of the box in Figure 8.5 is increased to about 3 times its width, it illustrates the effect of applying BALANCE alone to a vertical slice of the original mesh.

b. Operation UNBLOCK

- Applied to a block (i.e., a

- $\sqrt{n} \times \sqrt{n}$ submesh)
- Two Steps of the UNBLOCK Operation
 - i. Cyclically shift the elements in each row i to the right $i\sqrt{n} \bmod n$ positions.
 - ii. Sort each column in nondecreasing order using SORT.
- *Effect of UNBLOCK:*
Distributes one element in each block to each column in the mesh, so that
 - each uniform block produces a uniform row.
 - each non-uniform block produces at most one non-uniform row.
- *Justification of preceding claim:*
 - Step 1 transfers each of the n elements of a block

to a different column.

- Example: Mesh before and after Step1. (Here $m = 2^2 = 4$, $n = 2^{2 \times 2} = 16$, and $\sqrt{n} = 4$.)

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \left| \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccc}
 \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
 \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
 \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
 \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot
 \end{array} \right| \\
 \\
 \left| \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccc}
 \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
 \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
 \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot
 \end{array} \right|
 \end{array}$$

1. a. • ■ Assume there are b non-uniform blocks before executing UNBLOCK.
- After Step (i), the

difference in the number of 0's of two columns is at most b .

- After the column-sort in Step (ii), at most b non-uniform rows remain in the mesh.
- The non-uniform rows are consecutive and separate the uniform rows of 0's from the uniform rows of 1's.

c Operation **SHEAR**

- *Steps of SHEAR*
 - i. Sort all even numbered (*odd numbered*) rows in increasing (*decreasing, respectively*) order using SORT.
 - ii. Sort each column in increasing order using SORT.
- *Effect of SHEAR*: If there are b

consecutive non-uniform rows initially, then after operation SHEAR, there are at most $\lceil b/2 \rceil$ consecutive non-uniform rows.

- *Justification of above Claim:*
 - Let mesh have b consecutive non-uniform rows initially.
 - Consider a *pair* of adjacent non-uniform rows.
 - Step (i) places the 0's of the pair of adjacent rows at opposite ends.
 - Then a column may get at most one more 0 or 1 than any other column from one pair of rows.

←0/1→ | ←0's→ | ←—0/1—→

| | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

- Since there are $\lceil b/2 \rceil$ pairs of adjacent non-uniform rows, the difference in the number of 0's in any two columns is at most $\lceil b/2 \rceil$.
- Sorting the columns in Step (ii) causes at most $\lceil b/2 \rceil$ non-uniform rows to remain.
- Again, the non-uniform rows separate the uniform rows of 0's from the uniform rows of 1's.

7 Algorithm MESH SORT

The number of basic row/col opns for each step is given after the step.

Step 1: For all vertical slices, do in parallel

- ■ BALANCE (3)

Step 2: UNBLOCK (2)

Step 3: For all horizontal slices, do in

parallel

- ■ BALANCE (3)

Step 4: UNBLOCK (3)

Step 5: For $i = 1$ to 3, do
(sequentially)

- ■ SHEAR (2 each loop)

Step 6: SORT each row (1)

Total row or column operations: 17

8 Correctness of MESH SORT

a. After Step 1, the entire mesh has at most $2\sqrt{n}$ nonuniform blocks.

- BALANCE leaves at most \sqrt{n} nonuniform rows in each *vertical* (i.e., $m \times \sqrt{n}$) slice.
- Since the nonuniform rows are consecutive, there are at most **two** nonuniform blocks in each *vertical* slice.
- See Figure 8.7 below

b. After Step 2, UNBLOCK leaves at most $2\sqrt{n}$ nonuniform rows, which

are consecutive.

- Now there are at most **three** nonuniform *horizontal* slices in entire mesh.

c. In Step 3, BALANCE is applied (in parallel) to all the $\sqrt{n} \times n$ *horizontal* strips in parallel

- In effect, applied to rotated $n \times \sqrt{n}$ mesh strips.
- BALANCE applied to *one nonuniform horizontal slice* produces at most 2 nonuniform blocks in this slice (as in Step 1).
- Since only 3 horizontal slices were nonuniform (after Step 2), *at most 6* nonuniform blocks remain after Step 3.

d. Figure 8.7 shows action after "balance" operations in Steps 1 and 3.

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

(a)


Figure 8.7: Proving the correctness of MESH Step 3.

- e. Step 4: Since only 6 blocks are nonuniform, UNBLOCK produces at most 6 nonuniform rows.
- f. In Step 5, SHEAR reduces the 6 nonuniform rows to
 - ■ $6/2 = 3$ after iteration 1.
 - $\lceil 3/2 \rceil = 2$ after iteration 2.
 - $2/2 = 1$ after iteration 3.
- g. In Step 6, a sort of all rows will sort the (possibly) one non-uniform

row.

9 Analysis of MESH SORT

- a. There are 17 basic row/column operations in all, when the substeps of BALANCE, UNBLOCK, and SHEAR are counted.
- b. Each step above is a sort of a row or column or a cyclic shifting of a row by at most $n - 1$ positions.
- c. Using the Linear Transportation Sort, each sorting step requires $O(n)$ or $O(m)$ time, depending on whether a row or column is sorted.
- d. Each cyclic shift of a row takes $O(n)$ time, since at most $n - 1$ parallel moves are required to transfer items to their new row location.



- e. Alternately, above step can be done by row sorts on the

row-designation address of each item.

f. **Running time:** $O(n + m)$, or $O(n)$ if we assume that m is $O(n)$.

- This time is **best possible** on the 2D mesh, since an item may have to be moved from $P(0, 0)$ to $P(m - 1, n - 1)$.

g. **Cost:** Assume that $m = n = \sqrt{N}$.

- The running time is $t(N) = O(\sqrt{N})$
- The cost is $c(N) = O(N^{3/2})$
- The cost is not optimal, since an $O(N \lg N)$ cost is possible for a sequential sort of N items.

- Note: For the case where $n = m$, if this algorithm could be adjusted to allow each processor to handle

$$O\left(\frac{N^{3/2}}{N \lg N}\right) = O\left(\frac{\sqrt{N}}{\lg N}\right) = O\left(\frac{n}{4 \lg n}\right)$$

without changing its $O(n)$

running time, the resulting algorithm would be optimal.