## Pattern Matching

| $a$ | $b$ | $\boldsymbol{a}$ | C | $a$ | $\boldsymbol{a}$ | $b$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| $\boldsymbol{a}$ | b | $\boldsymbol{a}$ | C | $\boldsymbol{a}$ | $b$ |  |
|  | 4 |  |  | 4 | 3 | 2 |
|  | $\boldsymbol{a}$ | $b$ | $\boldsymbol{a}$ | c | $a$ | $b$ |

## Outline and Reading

-Strings (§9.1.1)

- Pattern matching algorithms
- Brute-force algorithm (§9.1.2)
- Boyer-Moore algorithm (§9.1.3)
- Knuth-Morris-Pratt algorithm (§9.1.4)


## Strings

- A string is a sequence of characters
- Examples of strings:
- Java program
- HTML document
- DNA sequence
- Digitized image
- An alphabet $\Sigma$ is the set of possible characters for a family of strings
- Example of alphabets:
- ASCII
- Unicode
- $\{0,1\}$
- $\{\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{G}, \mathrm{T}\}$
- Let $\boldsymbol{P}$ be a string of size $\boldsymbol{m}$
- A substring $P[i . . j]$ of $P$ is the subsequence of $\boldsymbol{P}$ consisting of the characters with ranks between $i$ and $j$
- A prefix of $\boldsymbol{P}$ is a substring of the type $P[0$.. $i]$
- A suffix of $\boldsymbol{P}$ is a substring of the type $P[i . . . m-1]$
- Given strings $\boldsymbol{T}$ (text) and $\boldsymbol{P}$ (pattern), the pattern matching problem consists of finding a substring of $\boldsymbol{T}$ equal to $\boldsymbol{P}$
- Applications:
- Text editors
- Search engines
- Biological research


## Brute-Force Algorithm

- The brute-force pattern matching algorithm compares the pattern $\boldsymbol{P}$ with the text $\boldsymbol{T}$ for each possible shift of $\boldsymbol{P}$ relative to $T$, until either
- a match is found, or
- all placements of the pattern have been tried
- Brute-force pattern matching runs in time $\boldsymbol{O}(\boldsymbol{n m})$
- Example of worst case:
- $T=a a a \ldots a h$
- $P=a a a h$
- may occur in images and DNA sequences
- unlikely in English text

Algorithm BruteForceMatch(T, P)
Input text $\boldsymbol{T}$ of size $\boldsymbol{n}$ and pattern
$\boldsymbol{P}$ of size $\boldsymbol{m}$
Output starting index of a
substring of $\boldsymbol{T}$ equal to $\boldsymbol{P}$ or -1
if no such substring exists
for $i \leftarrow 0$ to $n-m$
\{ test shift $\boldsymbol{i}$ of the pattern \}
$j \leftarrow 0$
while $j<m \wedge T[i+j]=P[j]$
$j \leftarrow j+1$
if $\boldsymbol{j}=\boldsymbol{m}$
return $i\{$ match at $\boldsymbol{i}\}$
else
break while loop \{mismatch
return -1 \{no match anywhere \}

## Boyer-Moore Heuristics

- The Boyer-Moore's pattern matching algorithm is based on two heuristics
Looking-glass heuristic: Compare $\boldsymbol{P}$ with a subsequence of $T$ moving backwards
Character-jump heuristic: When a mismatch occurs at $T[i]=c$
- If $\boldsymbol{P}$ contains $c$, shift $\boldsymbol{P}$ to align the last occurrence of $\boldsymbol{c}$ in $\boldsymbol{P}$ with $T[i]$
- Else, shift $\boldsymbol{P}$ to align $\boldsymbol{P}[0]$ with $\boldsymbol{T}[i+1]$
- Example




## Last-Occurrence Function

- Boyer-Moore's algorithm preprocesses the pattern $\boldsymbol{P}$ and the alphabet $\Sigma$ to build the last-occurrence function $L$ mapping $\Sigma$ to integers, where $L(c)$ is defined as
- the largest index $\boldsymbol{i}$ such that $P[i]=\boldsymbol{c}$ or
- -1 if no such index exists
- Example:
- $\Sigma=\{a, b, c, d\}$
- $P=a b a c a b$

| $\boldsymbol{c}$ | $\boldsymbol{a}$ | $\boldsymbol{b}$ | $\boldsymbol{c}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\boldsymbol{L}(\boldsymbol{c})$ | 4 | 5 | 3 | -1 |

- The last-occurrence function can be represented by an array indexed by the numeric codes of the characters
- The last-occurrence function can be computed in time $\boldsymbol{O}(\boldsymbol{m}+\boldsymbol{s})$, where $m$ is the size of $P$ and $s$ is the size of $\Sigma$


## The Boyer-Moore Algorithm

Algorithm BoyerMooreMatch (T, P, $\Sigma$ )
$L \leftarrow$ lastOccurenceFunction $(P, \Sigma)$ $i \leftarrow m-1$
$j \leftarrow m-1$
repeat
if $T[i]=P[j]$
if $\boldsymbol{j}=0$
return $i$ \{ match at $i$ \}
else

$$
i \leftarrow i-1
$$

$$
j \leftarrow j-1
$$

else
\{ character-jump \}
$l \leftarrow L[T[i]$
$\boldsymbol{i} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{i}+\boldsymbol{m}-\min (\boldsymbol{j}, 1+\boldsymbol{l})$
$j \leftarrow m-1$
until $i>n-1$
return -1 \{ no match \}

Case 1: $\boldsymbol{j} \leq 1+\boldsymbol{l}$


Case 2: $1+\boldsymbol{l} \leq \boldsymbol{j}$


Pattern Matching

## Example

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline a & b & a & c & a & a & b & a & d & c & a & b & a & c & a & b & a & a & b & b \\
\hline
\end{array} \\
& \begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline a & b & a & c & a & b \\
\hline
\end{array} \\
& \\
& \begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline a & b & a & c & a & b \\
\hline
\end{array} \\
& \begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline a & b & a & c & a & b \\
\hline
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Analysis

- Boyer-Moore's algorithm runs in time $\boldsymbol{O}(\boldsymbol{n m}+\boldsymbol{s})$

| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |
| $b$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |  |  |  |
| 12 11 10 9 8 <br> 7     |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{lllllll}18 & 17 & 16 & 15 & 14 & 13\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $b$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{llllllll}24 & 23 & 22 & 21 & 20 & 19\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $b$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |

## The KMP Algorithm - Motivation

- Knuth-Morris-Pratt's algorithm compares the pattern to the text in left-to-right, but shifts the pattern more intelligently than the brute-force algorithm.
- When a mismatch occurs, what is the most we can shift the pattern so as to avoid redundant comparisons?
- Answer: the largest prefix of $\boldsymbol{P}[0 . . j]$ that is a suffix of $\boldsymbol{P}[1 . . j]$



## KMP Failure Function

- Knuth-Morris-Pratt's algorithm preprocesses the pattern to find matches of prefixes of the pattern with

| $\boldsymbol{j}$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\boldsymbol{P}[\boldsymbol{j}]$ | $\boldsymbol{a}$ | $\boldsymbol{b}$ | $\boldsymbol{a}$ | $\boldsymbol{a}$ | $\boldsymbol{b}$ | $\boldsymbol{a}$ |
| $\boldsymbol{F}(\boldsymbol{j})$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | the pattern itself

The failure function $F(j)$ is | . | . | $a$ | $b$ | $a$ | $a$ | $b$ | $x$ | . | . | . | . | . |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | defined as the size of the largest prefix of $\boldsymbol{P}[0 . . j]$ that is also a suffix of $P[1 . j]$

- Knuth-Morris-Pratt's algorithm modifies the bruteforce algorithm so that if a mismatch occurs at $P[j] \neq \boldsymbol{T}[i]$ we set $j \leftarrow \boldsymbol{F}(\boldsymbol{j}-1)$



## The KMP Algorithm

- The failure function can be represented by an array and can be computed in $\boldsymbol{O}(\boldsymbol{m})$ time
- At each iteration of the whileloop, either
- $i$ increases by one, or
- the shift amount $i-j$ increases by at least one (observe that $\boldsymbol{F}(\boldsymbol{j}-1)<\boldsymbol{j}$ )
- Hence, there are no more than $2 \boldsymbol{n}$ iterations of the while-loop
- Thus, KMP's algorithm runs in optimal time $\boldsymbol{O}(\boldsymbol{m}+\boldsymbol{n})$

```
Algorithm KMPMatch (T, P)
    \(F \leftarrow\) failureFunction \((P)\)
    \(i \leftarrow 0\)
    \(j \leftarrow 0\)
    while \(i<n\)
        if \(T[i]=\boldsymbol{P}[j]\)
        if \(j=m-1\)
            return \(i-j\) \{ match \}
        else
            \(i \leftarrow i+1\)
            \(j \leftarrow j+1\)
    else
        if \(j>0\)
        \(\boldsymbol{j} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{F}[\boldsymbol{j}-1]\)
        else
        \(i \leftarrow i+1\)
    return -1 \{ no match \}
```


## Computing the Failure Function

- The failure function can be represented by an array and can be computed in $\boldsymbol{O}(\boldsymbol{m})$ time
- The construction is similar to the KMP algorithm itself
- At each iteration of the whileloop, either
- $i$ increases by one, or
- the shift amount $\boldsymbol{i}-\boldsymbol{j}$ increases by at least one (observe that $\boldsymbol{F}(\boldsymbol{j}-1)<\boldsymbol{j}$ )
- Hence, there are no more than $2 m$ iterations of the while-loop

```
Algorithm failureF unction(P)
    \(F[0] \leftarrow 0\)
    \(i \leftarrow 1\)
    \(j \leftarrow 0\)
    while \(i<m\)
    if \(P[i]=P[j]\)
        \{we have matched \(\boldsymbol{j}+1\) chars \}
        \(\boldsymbol{F}[i] \leftarrow j+1\)
        \(i \leftarrow i+1\)
    \(j \leftarrow j+1\)
    else if \(j>0\) then
        \{use failure function to shift \(\boldsymbol{P}\) \}
        \(j \leftarrow F[j-1]\)
    else
    \(F[i] \leftarrow 0\{\) no match \}
    \(i \leftarrow i+1\)
```


## Example

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline a & b & a & c & a & a & b & a & c & c & a & b & a & c & a & b & a & a & b & b \\
\hline
\end{array} \\
& \begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\
a & b & a & c & a & b \\
\hline
\end{array} \\
& \begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline 7 & \\
\hline a & b & a & c & a & b \\
\hline
\end{array} \\
& \begin{array}{lllll}
8 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12
\end{array} \\
& \begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline a & b & a & c & a & b \\
\hline
\end{array} \\
& \begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline 13 & \\
\hline a & b & a & c & a & b \\
\hline
\end{array} \\
& \begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline 14 & 15 & 16 & 17 & 18 & 19 \\
\hline a & b & a & c & a & b \\
\hline
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

