# CHAPTER 1 Regular Languages

Contents

- Finite Automata (FA or DFA)
  - definitions, examples, designing, regular operations
- Non-deterministic Finite Automata (NFA)
  - definitions, equivalence of NFAs and DFAs, closure under regular operations
- Regular expressions
  - definitions, equivalence with finite automata
- Non-regular Languages
  - the pumping lemma for regular languages

Theory of Computation, Feodor F. Dragan, Kent State University

### Non-regular Languages

• To understand the power of finite automata we must also understand their limitation.

• We will show that certain languages cannot be recognized by any finite automaton.

• Try to build an automaton that recognizes the language

 $L = \{0^m 1^n : n = m\}.$ 

- The automaton starts by seeing 0 inputs.
- It has to remember the exact number of 0 inputs, since it will later check that number against the number of 1 inputs.
- But the number of 0 inputs can be arbitrary large.
- Intuitively, no finite number of states can remember the exact number of 0 inputs.
- We conclude that this language is not regular.
- The *Pumping Lemma* for regular languages formalize this argument.

### **Pumping Lemma**

*Lemma*. For any regular language *L*, there exists a number  $p \ge 1$  such that for every word  $w \in L$  with at least *p* letters there exist *x*, *y*, *z* with w = xyz and |y| > 0 and  $|xy| \le p$  such that for every number  $i \ge 0$ ,  $xy^i z \in L$ .

• We call *p* the pumping number of *L*, and *xyz* the pumping decomposition of *w*.

#### Proof

- Consider a regular language *L*.
- *L* is accepted by some finite automaton *M*.
- Let *p* be the number of states of *M*.
- Now consider a word in *L* with at least *p* letters.
- Then w is accepted by M along some path that contains a loop.
- We can construct other paths of *M* by going through the loop 0,1,2, ... times.
- These paths also accept words in *L*.
- In other words, any accepting word w of length at least p can be "pumped " to find infinitely many other accepted words.

## How to prove that a language is not regular?

• Suppose we want to prove that a language *L* is not regular.

• We can do this by showing that the pumping lemma does not hold for *L*; that is, we prove the negation of the pumping lemma:

for any number  $p \ge 1$ there exists a word  $w \in L$  with at least p letters such that for all x, y, z with w = xyz and |y| > 0 and  $|xy| \le p$ there exists a number  $i \ge 0$  such that  $xy^i z \notin L$ .

- •We have to consider all possibilities for the pumping number *p*,
- all possibilities for the pumping decomposition *x*, *y*, *z* (often by case analysis).
- But we are free to choose a single word w,
- and a single iteration number *i*.
- Choosing a suitable w is usually the crux of the proof (one needs a bit of creative thinking)
- For *i*, we can typically choose i=0 or i=2.
- *Example:*  $L = \{0^m 1^n : n = m\}$  is not regular.
- Choose any pumping number p (we know only that  $p \ge 1$ ). Choose  $w = o^p 1^p$ .
- Consider any pumping decomposition w = xyz (|y| > 0 and  $|xy| \le p$ ).
- Hence  $x = 0^a$  and  $y = 0^b$  and  $z = 0^{p-a-b}1^p$ , for  $b \ge 1$ .
- Choose i=2. Since  $b \ge 1$ ,  $xy^2 z = 0^{p+b} 1^p$  is not in *L*.

### More Examples

*Example 2:*  $L_2 = \{xx: x \in \{0,1\}^*\}$  is not regular.

- Choose any pumping number p (we know only that  $p \ge 1$ ).
- Choose  $W = 10^p 10^p$ .
- Consider any pumping decomposition w = xyz (|y| > 0 and  $|xy| \le p$ ).
- There are two possibilities;

a) 
$$x = 10^{a}$$
 and  $y = 0^{b}$  and  $z = 0^{p-a-b}10^{p}$ , for  $b \ge 1$ .

b) 
$$x = \varepsilon$$
 and  $y = 10^{b}$  and  $z = 0^{p-b}10^{p}$ 

• Choose i=2. We need to show that  $xy^2z$  is not in  $L_2$ .

a) 
$$xy^2 z = 10^{p+b} 10^p$$
, which is not in  $L_2$ , since  $b \ge 1$ 

b)  $xy^2 z = 10^b 10^p 10^p$ , which is not in  $L_2$ , since it contains three 1's.

*Example 3:*  $L_3 = \{1^{n^2} : n \ge 0\}$  is not regular.

- Choose any pumping number p (we know only that  $p \ge 1$ ).
- Choose  $w = 1^{p^2}$ .
- Consider any pumping decomposition w = xyz (|y| > 0 and  $|xy| \le p$ ).
- Hence,  $x = 1^a$  and  $y = 1^b$  and  $z = 1^{p^2 a b}$ , for  $b \ge 1$  and  $a + b \le p$ . • Choose i=2. We need to show that  $xy^2z = 1^{p^2 + b}$  is not in  $L_3$ , i.e.,  $p^2 + b$  is not a
- Choose i=2. We need to show that  $xy^2 z = 1^{p^2+b}$  is not in  $L_3$ , i.e.,  $p^2 + b$  is not a square.
- Indeed,  $b \ge 1 \Rightarrow p^2 + b > p^2$ .  $a + b \le p \Rightarrow p^2 + b \le p^2 + p < (p+1)^2$ .

## Proving (non)regularity.

- To prove that a language L is regular, there are essentially two options:
  - 1. Find a finite automaton (or regular expression) that defines *L*.
  - 2. Show that *L* can be built from simpler regular languages using operations that are known to preserve regularity  $(i.e., \cup, \cap, \circ, *)$ .
- To prove that a language L is not regular, there are again two options:
  - 1. Show that the negation of the pumping lemma holds for *L*.
  - 2. Show that a language that is known to be non-regular can be built from *L* and languages that are known to be regular using operations that are known to preserve regularity.
- Example (of the second proof technique):

 $L_4 = \{w \in \{0,1\}^* : w \text{ contains the same number of 1's and 0's} \text{ is not regular,} \\ \text{since } L = L_4 \cap (0^*1^*) \quad (\text{if } L_4 \text{ were regular, then } L \text{ would also be regular,} \\ \text{which contradicts the first example).} \\ \text{Theory of Computation, Feodor F. Dragan, Kent State University} \end{cases}$