## CHAPTER 2 <br> Context-Free Languages <br> Contents

- Context-Free Grammars
- definitions, examples, designing, ambiguity, Chomsky normal form
- Pushdown Automata
- definitions, examples, equivalence with context-free grammars
- Non-Context-Free Languages
- the pumping lemma for context-free languages


## Pushdown Automata (PDAs)

- A new type of computational model.
- It is like a NFA but has an extra component called stack.
- The stack provides additional memory beyond the finite amount available in the control.
- The stack allows pushdown automata to recognize some non-regular languages.
- Pushdown automata are equivalent in power to context-free grammars.


Schematic of a finite automaton


- A PDA can write symbols on stack and read them back later
- Writing a symbol is pushing, removing a symbol is popping
- Access to the stack, for both reading and writing, may be done only at the top (last in, first out)
- A stack is valuable because it can hold an unlimited amount of information.


## Example

- Consider the language $\left\{0^{n} 1^{n}: n \geq 0\right\}$.
- Finite automaton is unable to recognize this language.
- A PDA is able to do this.

Informal description how the PDA for this language works.

- Read symbols from the input.
- As each 0 is read, push it into the stack.
- As soon as 1 s are seen, pop a 0 off the stack for each 1 read.
- If reading the input is finished exactly when the stack becomes empty of 0 s, accept the input.
- If the stack becomes empty while 1 s remain or
if the 1 s are finished while the stack still contains 0 s or if any 0 s appear in the input following 1 s , reject the input.


## Formal Definition of PDAs

- A pushdown automaton (PDA) is specified by a 6-tuple $\left(Q, \Sigma, \Gamma \delta, q_{0}, F\right)$, where

| $\underset{\Sigma}{Q}$ | is a finite set of states, is a finite input alphabet. | Non-deterministic <br> Is a collection of all subsets |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Gamma$ | is a finite stack alphabet, | $\Sigma_{\varepsilon}=\Sigma \bigcup\{\varepsilon\}$ |
| $\delta: Q \times \Sigma_{\varepsilon} \times \Gamma_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow \stackrel{\mathrm{P}\left(Q \times \Gamma_{\varepsilon}\right)}{ }$ | is the transition function, | $\Gamma_{\varepsilon}=\Gamma \bigcup\{\varepsilon\}$ |
| $q_{0} \in Q$ | is the initial state, |  |

- It computes as follows: it accepts input $w$ if $w$ can be written as $w=w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{n}$, where each $w_{i} \in \Sigma_{\varepsilon}$ and a sequence of states $r_{0}, r_{1}, r_{2}, \ldots, r_{n} \in Q \quad$ and strings $s_{0}, s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{n} \in \Gamma^{*}$ exist that satisfy the next three conditions (the strings $s_{i}$ represent the sequence of stack contents that PDA has on the accepting branch of the computation. 1. $r_{0}=q_{0}, s_{0}=\varepsilon$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 2. }\left(r_{i+1}, b\right) \in \delta\left(r_{i}, w_{i+1}, a\right), \quad i=0, \ldots, n-1 \text {, } \\
& \text { where } s_{i}=a t, s_{i+1}=\text { bt for some } a, b \in \Gamma_{\varepsilon} \text { and } t \in \Gamma^{*} \text {. } \\
& \text { 3. } r_{n} \in F
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example

- Consider the language $\left\{0^{n} 1^{n}: n \geq 0\right\}$.


## $M=(Q, \Sigma, \Gamma \delta, q 1, F)$


$a, b \rightarrow c \quad:$ when the machine is reading an $\boldsymbol{a}$ from the input it may replace the symbol $\boldsymbol{b}$ on the top of stack with a $\boldsymbol{c}$. Any of $\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}$, and $\boldsymbol{c}$ may be $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$.
$\boldsymbol{a}$ is $\boldsymbol{\mathcal { E }}$, the machine may take this transition without reading any input symbol.
$\boldsymbol{b}$ is $\boldsymbol{\mathcal { E }}$, the machine may take this transition without reading and popping any stack symbol.
$\boldsymbol{c}$ is $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$, the machine does not write any symbol on the stack when going along this transition.


## More Examples

- Language $\left\{a^{i} b^{j} c^{k}: i, j, k \geq 0\right.$ and $i=j$ or $\left.i=k\right\}$.

- Language $\left\{w w^{R}: w \in\{0,1\}^{*}\right\}$.



## Equivalence with Context-free Grammars

- Context-free grammars and pushdown automata are equivalent in their descriptive power. Both describe the class of context-free languages.
- Any context-free grammar can be converted into a pushdown automaton that recognizes the same language, and vice versa.
- We will prove the following result

Theorem. A language is context-free if and only if some pushdown automaton recognizes it.

- This theorem has two directions. We state each direction as a separate lemma.

Lemma 1. If a language is context-free, then some pushdown automaton recognizes it.

- We have a context-free grammar $G$ describing the context-free language $L$.
- We show how to convert $G$ into an equivalent PDA $P$.
- The PDA $P$ will accept string $w$ iff $G$ generates $w$, i.e., if there is a leftmost derivation for $w$.
- Recall that a derivation is simply the sequence of substitution made as a grammar generates a string.

$$
\bullet S_{\underset{l m}{ }} A S_{\overrightarrow{l m}}^{\Rightarrow} A 1 S_{\overrightarrow{l m}} 011 S_{\overrightarrow{l m}}^{\overrightarrow{l m}} 011 A S_{\overrightarrow{l m}}^{\Rightarrow} 0110 A 1 S_{\overrightarrow{l m}}^{\Rightarrow} 0110011 S_{\overrightarrow{l m}}^{\Rightarrow} 0110011 \quad A \rightarrow 0 A 1|A 1| 01
$$

## How do we check that G generates 0110011 ?

$S \rightarrow A S \mid \varepsilon$
$A \rightarrow 0 A 1|A 1| 01$


- $S \underset{l m}{\Rightarrow} A S \underset{l m}{\Rightarrow} A 1 S \underset{l m}{\Rightarrow} 01 \underset{l m}{1 S} \underset{l m}{\Rightarrow} 011 A S \underset{l m}{\Rightarrow} 0110 A 1 S \underset{l m}{\Rightarrow} 0110011 S \underset{l m}{\Rightarrow} 0110011$

- We can use stack to store an intermediate string of variables and terminals.
- It is better to keep only part (suffix) of the intermediate string, the symbols starting with the first variable.
- Any terminal symbols appearing before the first variable are matched immediately with symbols in the input string.
- Use non-determinism, make copies.


## Informal description of PDA $P$

1. Place the marker symbol $\$$ and the start symbol on the stack.
2. Repeat the following steps forever.
a) If top of stack is a variable symbol $A$, non-deterministically select one of the rules for A and substitute A by the string on the right-hand side of the rule.
b) If the top of stack is terminal symbol $a$, read the next symbol from the input and compare it to $a$. If they match, pop $a$ and repeat. If they do not match, reject on this branch of the non-determinism.
c) If the top of the stack is the symbol $\$$, enter the accept state. Doing so accepts the input if it has all been read.


## Construction of PDA $P$



## Example

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S \rightarrow a T b \mid b \\
& T \rightarrow T a \mid \varepsilon
\end{aligned}
$$



## Equivalence with Context-free Grammars

- We are working on the proof of the following result

Theorem. A language is context-free if and only if some pushdown automaton recognizes it.

- We have proved

Lemma 1. If a language is context-free, then some pushdown automaton recognizes it.

- We have shown how to convert a given $\mathrm{CFG} G$ into an equivalent PDA $P$.
- Now we will consider the other direction

Lemma 2. If a pushdown automaton recognizes some language, then it is context-free.

- We have a PDA $P$, and want to create a CFG $G$ that generates all strings that $P$ accepts.
- That is $G$ should generate a string if that string causes the PDA to go from its start state to an accept state (takes P from start state to an accept state).


## Example



- string 000111 takes P from start state to a finite state;
- string 00011 does not.


## Design a Grammar

- Let $P$ be an arbitrary PDA.
- For each pair of states $p$ and $q$ in $P$ the grammar will contain a variable $A_{p q}$
- This variable will generate all strings that can take $P$ from state $p$ with empty stack to $q$ with an empty stack
- Clearly, all those strings can also take $P$ from $p$ to $q$, regardless of the stack contents at $p$, leaving the stack at $q$ in the same condition as it was at $p$.


## Design a Grammar (cont.)

First we modify $P$ slightly to give it the following three features.

1. It has a single accept state, $q_{\text {accept }}$.

2. It empties its stack before accepting.
3. Each transition either pushes a symbol onto stack (a push move) or pops one off the stack (a pop move), but does not do both at the same time.


## Design a Grammar (ideas)

- For any string $x$ that take $P$ from $p$ to $q$, starting and ending with an empty stack, $P$ 's first move on $x$ must be a push; the last move on $x$ must be a pop. (Why?)
- If the symbol pushed at the beginning is the symbol popped at the end, the stack is empty only at the beginning and the end of $P$ 's computation on $x$.
- We simulate this by the rule $A_{p q} \rightarrow a A_{r s} b$, where $a$ is the input symbol read at the first move, $b$ is the symbol read at the last move, $r$ is the state following $p$, and $s$ the state preceding $q$.

- Else, the initially pushed symbol must get popped at some point before the end of $x$, and thus the stack becomes empty at this point.
- We simulate this by the rule $A_{p q} \rightarrow A_{p r} A_{r q}, r$ is the state when the stack becomes empty.



## Formal Design

- Let $P=\left(Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_{0},\left\{q_{\text {accept }}\right\}\right)$.
- We construct G as follows.
- The variables are $\left\{A_{p q}: p, q \in Q\right\}$
- The start variable is $A_{\text {qog ocecr }}$
- Rules:
- For each $p, q, r, s$ from $Q, \quad t \in \Gamma, a, b \in \Sigma_{\varepsilon}$ if we have

put the rule $\quad A_{p q} \rightarrow a A_{r s} b$ in $G$.
- For each $p, q, r$ from $Q$,, put the rule $A_{p q} \rightarrow A_{p r} A_{r q}$ in $G$.
- For each $p$ from $Q$,, put the rule $A_{p p} \rightarrow \varepsilon$ in $G$.

