## Routing Protocols

## Distance Vector Routing

- Initially A believes B is one hop away and D is unreachable.
- A sends its believes to its direct neighbors.
- B learns from A that it can reach $E$ at a cost of 2 by going through A. B modifies its record.
- In the next cycle B passes on this information to C. For C the cost to go to E via B is 3 .
- By now C has found a way to go to E via A at the cost of 2 . So C rejects the path through B.

|  | A | B | C | D | E | F | G |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | 0 | 1 | 1 | X | 1 | 1 | X |
| B | 1 | 0 | 1 | X | X | X | X |
| C | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | X | X | X |
| D | X | X | 1 | 0 | X | X | 1 |
| E | 1 | X | X | X | 0 | X | X |
| F | 1 | X | X | X | X | 0 | 1 |
| G | X | X | X | 1 | X | 1 | 0 |
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## Final Vector Routing

|  | A | B | C | D | E | F | G |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | 0 | 1 | 1 | X | 1 | 1 | X |
| B | 1 | 0 | 1 | X | X | X | X |
| C | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | X | X | X |
| D | X | X | 1 | 0 | X | X | 1 |
| E | 1 | X | X | X | 0 | X | X |
| F | 1 | X | X | X | X | 0 | 1 |
| G | X | X | X | 1 | X | 1 | 0 |


|  | A | B | C | D | E | F | G |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| B | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| C | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| D | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| E | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 3 |
| F | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| G | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 |



Routing Table at node B

- Besides the cost every node also keeps track of the next hop.
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## Per-Node Perspective

- As far as one is concerned:
- Each node maintains a table with three columns.
- Destination, Cost, Next Hop.
- Each node periodically sends update with a list of pairs:
- Destination, Cost.
- Whenever, a node receives an update from a neighbor that includes a route that is better than one of its current route, it changes the route in its forwarding table.
- A Node sends update:
- periodically (in few seconds or in several minutes).
- Triggered update, when a node changes its routing table entry.


## Quiz

Quiz: 205: A graph has 20 nodes and a speaker node has 3 immediate neighbors. In Distance Vector Protocol this speaker node will send information about how many nodes?

## Example of Update

- F knows [G=1], and A knows [G=2 via F]
- F detects that its link to G has failed.
- F advertises $[\mathrm{G}=\mathrm{x}]$
- A updates $[\mathrm{G}=\mathrm{x}]$
- C advertises [G=2]
- A notes [G=3 via C]
- F notes [G=4 via A$]$

Finally the network stabilizes.


## Problem!

- A knows [ $\mathrm{E}=1$ ], and B knows $[\mathrm{E}=2$ via A$]$
- A detects that its link to E has failed.
- A advertises [ $\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{x}$ ]
- But $B$ and $C$ advertises $[E=2]$, based on who is fast..
- $\quad B$ hears $[E=2]$, updates $[E=3$ via $C]$, and advertizes to $A$
- A thinks $[\mathrm{E}=4$ via $\mathrm{B}!]$ and advertises to C
- $\quad \mathrm{C}$ thinks $[\mathrm{E}=5$ via $\mathrm{A}!]$

The cycle will continue until the distance is too large!
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## Count to Infinity Problem (Propagation of good news)

$$
A-B-C-D-E
$$

| A | B | C | D | E |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | inf. | inf. | inf. | inf. | initial state |
|  | 1 | inf. | inf. | inf. | after exchange 1 |
|  | 1 | 2 | inf. | inf. | after exchange 2 |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | inf. | after exchange 3 |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | after exchange 4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Suppose initially A to B link was down so every body knows distance to $A$ is infinity. Now the link comes up. Gooddnews proparatesfostfew steps.

## Count to Infinity Problem (propagation of bad news!)

$$
A-B-C-D-E
$$

| A | B | C | D | E |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | initial state |
|  | 3 | 2 | 3 | 4 | after exchange 1 |
|  | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | after exchange 2 |
|  | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | after exchange 3 |
|  | 5 | 6 | 5 | 6 | after exchange 4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Inf. | Inf. | Inf. | Inf. | In many steps |

Suppose initially A to B was up. So every body knows distanc to $A$. Now the link is down. But every body gets wrong

## Split-Horizon Technique

- Initially A and B both has distance to $\mathfrak{D}=2$.
- Now D to C disconnects.
- Using split-horizon both A and B tells C that they cannot reach D.
- C concludes it cannot reach D and reports that to A and B .
- But B says to A that it can reach to D by Hop 3. So A concludes it has a path to D with 4 hop via B!
- This is however count-to-infinity problem!


## Link State Routing

- The problem with distance vector routing was the nodes were advertising paths which they were not sure about!
- They were advertising only to their neighbors.
- In link state, nodes advertise only the information about which they are sure.
- But they advertise to everyone.


## Reliable Advertising

- Update packet link-state packet (LSP) contains
- the ID of the creator node.
- The list of directly connected neighbors.
- A sequence number
- a time to live (TTL).
- The first two items are for routing calculation.
- Sequence number is used to determine the most up-to-date information.
- TTL is used to make sure, LSP do not circulate for ever.



## Link State Packet Buffer

|  |  |  | SEND FLAG |  |  |  | ACK FLAG |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| SOURCE | SEQ | AGE | A | C | F | A | C | F | DATA |
| A | 21 | 60 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |
| F | 21 | 60 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |
| E | 21 | 59 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |
| C | 20 | 60 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
| D | 21 | 59 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |




## Network Layer

- There are other network layer issues such as congestion control and quality of service.
- We will return to them later.

