```
CS3: Programming Patterns
OUIZ #1
```

1. What does this code output?

```
int a[] = {10, 20, 30, 40};
int s=0;
for(auto e: a) s += e;
cout << s;</pre>
```

- a) 10
- b) 40
- c) 100
- d) 200
- e) this code is incorrect and would not compile
- 2. The below prototyped function

```
void myFunc(int a, int b=0, int c=1);
```

may NOT be invoked as:

- a) myFunc(1,2,3);
 b) myFunc(1,2);
 c) myFunc(1);
 d) myFunc();
- e) the function prototype is illegal in C++
- 3. What does the following code print:

```
int a = 1;
int &b = a;
++a;
++b;
cout << b;
a) 1
b) 2</pre>
```

- b) 2 c) 3
- d) 4
- e) this code is illegal and will not compile
- 4. Consider the following function prototype and variable declaration:

```
int *func();
int v = 55:
```

What is a correct function invocation

```
a) func() = v;
b) *func() = v;
c) func() = *v;
d) *func() = *v;
e) &func() = v;
```

5. Consider the following three statements:

```
int *ptr1 = 0;
int *ptr2 = NULL;
int *ptr3 = nullptr;
```

Which statement is preferred and why?

- a) first, because there is no confusion as to how the pointer is initialized
- b) second, because pointers are initialized with NULL value

- c) third, because it is a newer construct in C++
- d) third, because "nullptr" is of type pointer which eliminates type ambiguity
- e) all of the above are equally recommended for use
- 6. What is the output of the following portion of code?

```
int a[10] = {0};
cout << a[9];
```

- a) -1
- b) 0
- c) arbitrary integer
- d) NULL
- e) this code is illegal and will not compile
- 7. What does the following code print?

```
int myFunc() {
    static int a=0;
    return ++a;
}
int main() {
    cout << myFunc() << myFunc();
}</pre>
```

THIS QUESTION HAS MULTIPLE ANSWERS SINCE THE ORDER OF FUNCTION EXECUTION IN EXTRACTION OPERATOR IS UNDEFINED. THE QUESTION WAS MEANT TO TEST THE KNOWLEDGE OF "static".

- a) 11 b) 12
- c) 21
- d) 22
- e) this code is illegal and will not compile
- 8. The process of determining the type parameter of a standalone function template on the basis of the type of arguments is called:
 - a) instantiation
 - b) deduction
 - c) definition
 - d) generic programming
 - e) such determination can only be done for class templates
- 9. Consider the following class definition

```
template <typename T>
class MyClass {
public:
    T myfunc();
private:
    T a_;
};
```

What would be a correct out-of-line definition of function myfunc()

- 10. Consider the following templated class definition

```
template <typename T=int, int Size=10>
  class MyClass{
    // details of class definition
};

Which of object declarations below is NOT syntactically legal

a) MyClass<double, 100> myobj;
b) MyClass<double> myobj;
c) MyClass<> myobj;
d) MyClass myobj;
e) templated class cannot be instantiated in C++
```