

Introduction to Self-Stabilization

Mikhail Nesterenko Kent State University

artwork reproduced with the permission of Ted Herman, University of Iowa

The Definition of Self-Stabilization

Distributed system is *self-stabilizing* wrt the set of legitimate states if, regardless of the initial state, it is guaranteed to eventually arrive at a legitimate state and never leave the set of legitimate states after that.

SS distributed system:

- does not need to be initialized
- recovers from transient failures (local state corruption, message loss, etc.)
- · adapts to changes in system's topology (if topology is considered part of the state)

On the other hand:

 SS system does not guarantee correct execution during recovery

Guarded Command Language (GCL)

*[guard 1 ◆ command 1 []guard 2 ◆ command 2 :]	 *[] - execution repeats forever guard_i - binary predicate on local vars, received messages, etc.; command_i - list of assignment statements; command is executed when corresponding guard is true; guards are selected nondetermenistically,
A du conta rica i	

Advantages:

 GCL allows to easily reason about algorithms and their executions: the program counter position is irrelevant or less important:

• we don't have to consider execution starting in the middle of guard or command (serializability property); 3

Dijkstra's K-State Token **Circulation Algorithm**

Objective: circulate a single token among processors

```
Processor \rho o
* [
  s_0 = s_k \blacklozenge s_0 := (s_0 + 1) \mod K
 Processor \rho_i (0 < i \leq K)
* [
   S_i? S_{i-1} \blacklozenge S_i := S_{i-1}
```

• the system consists of a ring of K processors (ids 0 through K-1)

 each processor maintains a state variable *s*; a processor can see the state of it's left (smaller id) neighbor

 guard evaluates to true - processor has a privilege (token)

 all processors evaluate their guards, only one at a time changes state (C-Daemon)

 after the state change all processors re-evaluate the guards

4

2

Alternating Bit Protocol		SS Bounded Alternating Bit Protocol	
processor p *[receive $ack(i) \bullet$ if $i = ns$ then $ns := \downarrow ns$ ms := get() send $data(ms, ns)$ []timeout \bullet send $data(ms, ns)$]] processor q *[receive $data(m, i) \bullet$ put(m)	The objective is to transmit data reliably from sender node to receiver node unreliable channel processor <i>p</i> - sender processor <i>q</i> - receiver two types of messages: <i>data</i> and <i>ack</i> <i>ns</i> - boolean sequence number (sn) of <i>data</i> last sent <i>ms</i> - last message sent <i>get</i> () - returns the next message to be sent <i>put</i> () - delivers received message <i>timeout</i> - enabled when both channels empty problems: •does not work if messages are present in the	processor p *[receive $ack(i) \\$ if $i = ns$ then ns := ns + 1 ms := get() send $data(ms, ns)$ [] $timeout \\$ send $data(ms, ns)$]] processor q *[receive $data(m, i) \\$ if i ? nr then put(m)	The objective is to transmit data reliable from sender node to receiver node ove bounded (bound=g) unreliable channed processor p - sender processor q - receiver two types of messages: <i>data</i> and <i>ack</i> <i>ns</i> - sequence number(sn) of <i>data</i> last sent <i>nr</i> - sn of the last correct <i>data</i> <i>ms</i> - last message sent <i>get</i> () - returns the next message to be sent <i>put</i> () - delivers received message <i>timeout</i> - always enabled all variables are bounded.
send ack (i)	•hard to estimate the length of the timeout	nr := i send ack (i)]	bound <i>B</i> is set to be greater than $2g$. addition is done modulo <i>B</i>