

## Conventional View of Processes

- A process can be viewed two ways:
  - A unit of **resource ownership**
    - A process has an address space, containing program code and data
    - A process may have open files, may be using an I/O device, etc.
  - A unit of **scheduling**
    - The CPU scheduler dispatches one process at a time onto the CPU
    - Associated with a process are values in the PC, SP, and other registers
- Insight (~1988) — these two are usually linked, but they don't have to be
- In many recent operating systems (UNIX, Windows NT), the two are independent:
  - Process = unit of resource ownership
  - Thread = unit of scheduling

1

Fall 2000, Lecture 08


## Processes vs. Threads

- *Process* = unit of resource ownership
  - A process (sometimes called a *heavyweight process*) has:
    - Address space
    - Program code
    - Global variables, heap, stack
    - OS resources (files, I/O devices, etc.)
- *Thread* = unit of scheduling
  - A thread (sometimes called a *lightweight process*) is a single sequential execution stream within a process
  - A thread **shares** with other threads:
    - Address space, program code
    - Global variables, heap
    - OS resources (files, I/O devices)
  - A thread has its own:
    - Registers, Program Counter (PC)
    - Stack, Stack Pointer (SP)

2

Fall 2000, Lecture 08

## Processes vs. Threads



- A thread is bound to a particular process
  - A process may contain multiple threads of control inside it
  - Threads can block, create children, etc.
- All of the threads in a process:
  - Share address space, program code, global variables, heap, and OS resources
  - Execute concurrently (has its own register, PC, SP, etc. values)

3

Fall 2000, Lecture 08

## Why Threads?

- A process with multiple threads makes a great server (e.g., printer server):
  - Have one server process, many "worker" threads — if one thread blocks (e.g., on a read), others can still continue executing
  - Threads can share common data; don't need to use inter-process communication
  - Can take advantage of multiprocessors
- Threads are cheap!
  - Cheap to create — only need a stack and storage for registers
  - Use very little resources — don't need new address space, global data, program code, or OS resources
  - Context switches are fast — only have to save / restore PC, SP, and registers
- But... no protection between threads!

4

Fall 2000, Lecture 08

## User-Level Threads

- User-level threads = provide a library of functions to allow user processes to create and manage their own threads
  - ✓ Doesn't require modification to the OS
  - ✓ Simple representation — each thread is represented simply by a PC, registers, stack, and a small control block, all stored in the user process' address space
  - ✓ Simple management — creating a new thread, switching between threads, and synchronization between threads can all be done without intervention of the kernel
  - ✓ Fast — thread switching is not much more expensive than a procedure call
  - ✓ Flexible — CPU scheduling (among those threads) can be customized to suit the needs of the algorithm

5

Fall 2000, Lecture 08

## User-Level Threads (cont.)

- User-level threads = provide a library of functions to allow user processes to create and manage their own threads
  - ✗ Lack of coordination between threads and OS kernel
    - Process as a whole gets one time slice
    - Same time slice, whether process has 1 thread or 1000 threads
    - Also — up to each thread to relinquish control to other threads in that process
  - ✗ Requires non-blocking system calls (i.e., a multithreaded kernel)
    - Otherwise, entire process will be blocked in the kernel, even if there are runnable threads left in the process
  - ✗ If one thread causes a page fault, the entire process blocks

6

Fall 2000, Lecture 08


## Kernel-Level Threads

- Kernel-level threads = kernel provides system calls to create and manage threads
  - ✓ Kernel has full knowledge of all threads
    - Scheduler may choose to give a process with 10 threads more time than process with only 1 thread
  - ✓ Good for applications that frequently block (e.g., server processes with frequent interprocess communication)
  - ✗ Slow — thread operations are 100s of times slower than for user-level threads
  - ✗ Significant overhead and increased kernel complexity — kernel must manage and schedule threads as well as processes
    - Requires a full thread control block (TCB) for each thread

7

Fall 2000, Lecture 08

## Two-Level Thread Model (Digital UNIX, Solaris, IRIX, HP-UX)



- User-level threads for user processes
  - "Lightweight process" (LWP) serves as a "virtual CPU" where user threads can run
- Kernel-level threads for use by kernel
  - One for each LWP
  - Others perform tasks not related to LWPs
- OS supports multiprocessor systems

8

Fall 2000, Lecture 08