## Algorithm 3

Think of this algorithm as using a referee who keeps track of whose "turn" it is

- Anytime the two disagree about whose turn it is, they ask the referee, who keeps track of whose turn it is to have priority
- This is called Peterson's algorithm (1981)
- The original (but more complicated) solution to this problem is Dekker's algorithm (1965)

For n processes, we can use Lamport's Bakery algorithm (1974)

- When a thread tries to enter the critical section, it get assigned a number higher than anyone else's number
- Thread with lowest number gets in
- If two threads get the same number, the one with the lowest process id gets in
- Code:

```
```

t1 () \{

```
```

t1 () \{
while (true) \{
while (true) \{
t1_in_crit = true;
t1_in_crit = true;
turn = 2;
turn = 2;
while ( $\mathrm{t} 2 \_$in_crit $==$true $\& \&$ turn != 1)
while ( $\mathrm{t} 2 \_$in_crit $==$true $\& \&$ turn != 1)
; /* do nothing */
; /* do nothing */
... critical section of code ...
... critical section of code ...
t1_in_crit = false;
t1_in_crit = false;
... other non-critical code ...
... other non-critical code ...
\}
\}
\}
\}
t2 () \{
t2 () \{
while (true) \{
while (true) \{
similar...
similar...
\}
\}
\}
\}
\}
\}
\}
\}
\}
similar...

```
```

        similar...
    ```
```
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## Informal description:

- Single igloo, containing a blackboard and a very large freezer
- Wait - thread enters the igloo, checks the blackboard, and decrements the value shown there
- If new value is 0 , thread goes on to the critical section
- If new value is negative, thread crawls in the freezer and hibernates (making room for others to enter the igloo)
- Signal - thread enters igloo, checks blackboard, and increments the value there
- If new value is 0 or negative, there's a thread waiting in the freezer, so it thaws out a frozen thread, which then goes on to the critical section

■ Code using semaphores:

```
t1() {
    while (true) {
        wait (s);
        ... critical section of code ...
        signal (s);
        ... other non-critical code ...
    }
}
t2 () {
    while (true) {
        wait (s);
        ... critical section of code ...
        signal (s);
        ... other non-critical code ...
    }
}
```


## Semaphore Operation \& Values

Semaphores (simplified slightly):

## wait (s):

$\mathrm{s}=\mathrm{s}-1$
if ( $\mathrm{s}<0$ )
block the thread that called wait(s) signal (s):
$s=s+1$

$$
\text { if }(s \leq 0)
$$

wake up \& run one of the waiting threads
otherwise
continue into CS

## Semaphore values:

- Positive semaphore = number of (additional) threads that can be allowed into the critical section
- Negative semaphore = number of threads blocked (note - there's also one in CS)
- Binary semaphore has an initial value of 1
- Counting semaphore has an initial value greater than 1


## Using Semaphores for Mutual Exclusion

- Too much milk:

| Thread A | Thread B |
| :---: | :---: |
| milk $\rightarrow$ P( ); | milk $\rightarrow$ P( ); |
| if (noMilk) | if (noMilk) |
| buy milk; | buy milk; |
| milk $->$ V( ); | milk $\rightarrow$ V ( ); |

- "noMilk" is a semaphore initialized to 1
- Execution:

After: milk queue $A$ B

|  | 1 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A: noM->P(); | 0 |  | in CS |  |
| B: noM->P(); | -1 | B | in CS | waiting |
| A: noM->V(); | 0 |  | finish | ready, in CS |
| B: $n 0 M->V() ;$ | 1 |  |  | finish |

## The Coke Machine (Bounded-Buffer Producer-Consumer)

```
/* number of full slots (Cokes) in machine */
semaphore fullSlot = 0;
/* number of empty slots in machine */
semaphore emptySlot = 100;
/* only one person accesses machine at a time */
semaphore mutex = 1;
DeliveryPerson()
{
    emptySlot->P( ); /* empty slot avail? */
    mutex->P(); /* exclusive access */
    put 1 Coke in machine
    mutex->V();
    fullSlot->V(); /* another full slot! */
}
ThirstyPerson()
{
    fullSlot->P(); /* full slot (Coke)? */
    mutex->P(); /* exclusive access */
    get 1 Coke from machine
    mutex->V();
    emptySlot->V( ); /* another empty slot! */
}```

