The Producer-Consumer Problem (Review from Lecture 07)

- One thread is a producer of information; another is a consumer of that information
 - They share a bounded circular buffer
 - Processes OS must support shared memory between processes
 - Threads all memory is shared



Another Example

 $\frac{\text{Thread A}}{\text{i} = 0}$

<u>Thread B</u>

i = 0 while (i < 10) i = i + 1 print "A wins" i = 0 while (i > -10) i = i - 1

print "B wins"

- Assumptions:
 - Memory load and store are atomic
 - Increment and decrement are not atomic
- Questions:
 - Who wins?
 - Is it guaranteed that someone wins?
 - What if both threads have their own CPU, running concurrently at exactly the same speed? Is it guaranteed that it goes on forever?
 - What if they are sharing a CPU?

Too Much Milk!

| <u>Time</u> | You | Your Roommate |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------|
| 3:00 | Arrive home | |
| 3:05 | Look in fridge, no milk | |
| 3:10 | Leave for grocery | |
| 3:15 | | Arrive home |
| 3:20 | Arrive at grocery | Look in fridge, no milk |
| 3:25 | Buy milk, leave | Leave for grocery |
| 3:30 | | |
| 3:35 | Arrive home | Arrive at grocery |
| 3:36 | Put milk in fridge | Dura and the latence |
| 3:40 | | Buy milk, leave |
| 3.40 2.50 | | Arrivo homo |
| 3.50 | | Put milk in fridge |
| 0.01 | | r at mint in mage |
| 3:51 | Oh, no! <i>Too much milk!!</i> | |
| | | |
| The problem here is that the lines: | | |
| "Look in fridge, no milk" | | |
| through | | |
| | "Put milk in fridge" | |
| | | |
| are not an atomic operation | | |
| 2 | | Fall 2001, Lecture 10 |

Critical Section & Mutual Exclusion

- Critical section (region) code that only one thread can execute at a time (e.g., code that modifies shared data)
- Mutual exclusion ensures that only one thread does a particular activity at a time — all other threads are excluded from doing that activity
 - More formally, if process P_i is executing in its critical section, then no other processes can be executing in their critical sections
- *Lock* mechanism that prevents another thread from doing something:
 - Lock before entering a critical section
 - Unlock when leaving a critical section
 - Thread wanting to enter a locked critical section must wait until it's unlocked

4

Enforcing Mutual Exclusion

- Methods to enforce mutual exclusion
 - Up to user threads have to explicitly coordinate with each other
 - Up to OS support for mutual exclusion
 - Up to hardware —architectural support
- Solution must make progress if no process is executing in its critical section, and there exist some processes that wish to enter their critical section, then the selection of the processes that will enter the critical section next cannot be postponed indefinitely
 - Avoid starvation if a thread starts trying to gain access to the critical section, then it should eventually succeed
 - Avoid *deadlock* if **some** threads are trying to enter their critical sections, then **one** of them must eventually succeed

Algorithm 1 (cont.)

```
Code:
```

}

5

```
t1 () {
    while (true) {
        while (turn != 1)
        ; /* do nothing */
        ... critical section of code ...
        turn = 2;
        ... other non-critical code ...
    }
}
```

```
t2 () {
while (true) {
while (turn != 2)
; /* do nothing */
... critical section of code ...
turn = 1;
... other non-critical code ...
}
```

Algorithm 1

- Informal description:
 - Igloo with blackboard inside
 - Only one person (thread) can fit in the igloo at a time
 - In the igloo is a blackboard, which is large enough to hold only one value
 - A thread that wants to execute the critical section enters the igloo, and examines the blackboard
 - If its number is not on the blackboard, it leaves the igloo, goes outside, and runs laps around the igloo
 - After a while, it goes back inside, and checks the blackboard again
 - This "busy waiting" continues until eventually its number is on the blackboard
 - If its number is on the blackboard, it leaves the igloo and goes on to the critical section
 - When it returns from the critical section, it enters the igloo, and writes the other thread's number on the blackboard

Fall 2001, Lecture 10

Algorithm 2a

- Informal description:
 - Each thread has its own igloo
 - A thread can examine and alter its own blackboard
 - A thread can examine, but not alter, the other thread's blackboard
 - "true" on blackboard = that thread is in the critical section
 - A thread that wants to execute the critical section enters the other thread's igloo, and examines the blackboard
 - It looks for "false" on that blackboard, indicating that the other thread is not in the critical section
 - When that happens, it goes back to its own igloo, and writes "true" on its own blackboard, and then goes on to the critical section
 - When it returns from the critical section, it enters the igloo, and writes "false" on the blackboard

8

Fall 2001, Lecture 10

6

```
Fall 2001, Lecture 10
```

Algorithm 2a (cont.)

■ Code: t1() { while (true) { while (t2_in_crit == true) ; /* do nothing */ t1_in_crit = true; ... critical section of code ... t1_in_crit = false; ... other non-critical code ... } } t2() { while (true) { while (t1_in_crit == true) /* do nothing */ ; t2_in_crit = true; ... critical section of code ... t2_in_crit = false; ... other non-critical code ... } }

Fall 2001, Lecture 10

9

Algorithm 2b

■ Code: t1() { while (true) { t1_in_crit = true; while (t2_in_crit == true) /* do nothing */ : ... critical section of code ... t1_in_crit = false; ... other non-critical code ... } } t2() { while (true) { t2_in_crit = true; while (t1_in_crit == true) ; /* do nothing */ ... critical section of code ... t2_in_crit = false; ... other non-critical code ... } } 10 Fall 2001, Lecture 10