Mutual Exclusion in a Distributed Environment (Review)

- Mutual exclusion
  - Centralized algorithms
    - Central physical clock
    - Central coordinator
  - Distributed algorithms
    - Time-based event ordering
      - Lamport’s algorithm (logical clocks)
      - Ricart & Agrawala’s algorithm ("")
      - Suzuki & Kasimi’s algorithm (broadcast)
    - Token passing
      - Le Lann’s token-ring algorithm (logical ring)
      - Raymond’s tree algorithm (logical tree)
    - Sharing K identical resources
      - Raymond’s extension to Ricart & Agrawala’s time-based algorithm
  - Atomic transactions (later in course)

- Related — self-stabilizing algorithms, election, agreement, deadlock

Suzuki and Kasami’s Broadcast Algorithm (1985)

- Overview:
  - If a thread wants to enter the critical section, and it does not have the token, it broadcasts a request message to all other sites in the token’s request set
  - The thread that has the token will then send it to the requesting thread
  - However, if it’s in the critical section, it gets to finish before sending the token
  - A thread holding the token can continuously enter the critical section until the token is requested
  - Request vector at thread i:
    - RN[i] contains the largest sequence number received from thread k in a request message
  - Token consists of vector and a queue:
    - LN[k] contains the sequence number of the latest executed request from thread k
    - Q is the queue of requesting thread

Suzuki and Kasami’s Broadcast Algorithm (cont.)

- Requesting the critical section (CS):
  - When a thread i wants to enter the CS, if it does not have the token, it:
    - Increments its sequence number sn and its request vector RN[i] to RN[i]+1
    - Sends a request message containing new sn to all threads in that CS’s request set
  - When a thread k receives the request message, it:
    - Sets RN_k[i] to MAX(RN_k[i], sn received)
      - If sn < RN_k[i], the message is outdated
    - If thread k has the token and is not in the CS (i.e., is not using it), and if RN_k[i] == LN[i]+1 (indicating an outstanding request)
      - It sends the token to thread i

- Executing the CS:
  - A thread enters the CS when it has acquired the token

- Releasing the CS:
  - When a thread i leaves the CS, it:
    - Sets LN[i] of the token equal to RN[i] to RN[i]+1
      - Indicates that its request RN[i] has been executed
    - For every thread k whose ID is not in the token queue Q, it appends its ID to Q if RN_k[i] == LN[i]+1
      - Indicates that thread k has an outstanding request
    - If the token queue Q is nonempty after this update, it deletes the thread ID at the head of Q and sends the token to that thread
      - Gives priority to others’ requests
      - Otherwise, it keeps the token

- Evaluation:
  - 0 to N messages required to enter CS
    - No messages if thread holds the token
    - Otherwise N–1 requests, 1 reply
Suzuki and Kasami’s Broadcast Algorithm (cont.)

Thread 0 decides it wants to get into the CS

Thread 0 updates its SN and request vector RN, and sends its new SN to others

Threads 1 and 2 update their RNs with new SN received from Thread 0. Thread 1 has the token, but is not currently using it, so it sends it to Thread 0.

Suzuki and Kasami’s Broadcast Algorithm (cont.)

Thread 0 now has the token, and is actively using it, when requests come in from Thread 1, then Thread 2.

When Thread 0 leaves CS, it updates LN to indicate that the request has been satisfied. Then it adds Threads 1 and 2 to the token queue Q. Finally, it deletes Thread 1 from the head of Q and sends it the token.

Thread 1 now has the token, and can enter the CS. When it finishes, it will update LN and send the token to Thread 2 (after adding any new requests to the end of the token queue Q).

Token-Ring Algorithm (Le Lann, 1977 ?)

- Processes are arranged in a logical ring
- At start, process 0 is given a token
  - Token circulates around the ring in a fixed direction via point-to-point messages
  - When a process acquires the token, it has the right to enter the critical section
  - After exiting CS, it passes the token on
- Evaluation:
  - N–1 messages required to enter CS
  - Not difficult to add new processes to ring
  - With unidirectional ring, mutual exclusion is fair, and no process starves
  - Not very fault-tolerant
  - Difficult to detect when token is lost
  - Doesn’t guarantee “happened-before” order of entry into critical section
Raymond’s Tree Algorithm (1989)

Overview:
- Threads are arranged as a logical tree
  - Edges are directed toward the thread that holds the token (called the “holder”, initially the root of tree)
- Each thread has:
  - A variable holder that points to its neighbor on the directed path toward the holder of the token
  - A FIFO queue called request_q that holds its requests for the token, as well as any requests from neighbors that have requested but haven’t received the token
    - If request_q is non-empty, that implies the node has already sent the request at the head of its queue toward the holder

Requesting the critical section (CS):
- When a thread wants to enter the CS, but it does not have the token, it:
  - Adds its request to its request_q
  - If its request_q was empty before the addition, it sends a request message along the directed path toward the holder
    - If the request_q was not empty, it’s already made a request, and has to wait
- When a thread in the path between the requesting thread and the holder receives the request message, it
  - < same as above >
- When the holder receives a request message, it
  - Sends the token (in a message) toward the requesting thread
  - Sets its holder variable to point toward that thread (toward the new holder)

Executing the CS:
- A thread can enter the CS when it receives the token and its own entry is at the top of its request_q
  - It deletes the top entry from the request_q, and enters the CS

Releasing the CS:
- When a thread leaves the CS
  - If its request_q is not empty (meaning a thread has requested the token from it), it:
    - Deletes the top entry from its request_q
    - Sends the token toward the thread referenced by the deleted entry, and sets its holder variable to point toward that thread
  - If its request_q is not empty after this deletion (meaning more than one thread has requested the token from it), it sends a request message along the directed path toward the new holder (pointed to by the updated holder variable)

Evaluation:
- On average, $O(\log N)$ messages required to enter CS
  - Average distance between any two nodes in a tree with $N$ nodes is $O(\log N)$
Election Algorithms

- In a distributed system, many algorithms require a permanent or temporary leader:
  - Distributed mutual exclusion:
    - Central coordinator algorithm requires a coordinator
    - Token-ring algorithm, Suzuki-Kasami’s broadcast algorithm, and Raymond’s tree algorithm require an initial token holder
  - Distributed deadlock detection — maintainer of a global wait-for graph
- If leader fails, must elect a new leader
  - Election algorithms assume there is a unique priority number for each thread
  - Goal: elect the highest-priority thread as the leader, tell all active threads
  - Second goal: allow a recovered leader to re-establish control (or at least, to identify the current leader)

Garcia-Molina’s Bully Algorithm (1993)

- 3 types of messages:
  - Election — announce an election
  - Answer — acknowledge election msg.
  - Coordinator — announce new coordinator
- The election:
  - A thread begins an election when it notices the coordinator has failed
    - To do so, it sends election messages to all threads with a higher priority
  - It then awaits an answer message (from a live thread with a higher priority)
    - If none arrives within a certain time, it declares itself the coordinator, and sends a coordinator message to all threads with a lower priority
    - If an answer message does arrive, it waits a certain time for a coordinator message to arrive from the new coordinator
      - If none arrives, it begins another election
Garcia-Molina’s Bully Algorithm (cont.)

- **Result of the election:**
  - If a thread receives a *coordinator* message, it accepts the new coordinator

- **Participating in an election:**
  - If a thread receives an *election* message:
    - It sends back an answer message
    - It begins another election (with its higher-ups) unless it has already begun one

- **Failed threads:**
  - When one restarts, it begins an election
    - Unless it knows it has the highest priority, in which case it just sends out *coordinator* messages to re-establish control

- **Evaluation:**
  - N–2 messages in best case
  - \(O(N^2)\) messages in worst case

---

**Diagram:**

1. **Election Process:**
   - Thread 1 sends an election message to Thread 2.
   - Thread 2 sends an answer message to Thread 1.
   - Thread 2 becomes the new coordinator.

2. **failed thread:**
   - When thread 3 fails and restarts, it begins an election.
   - Thread 3 sends an election message to the higher-ups.
   - Thread 3 becomes the new coordinator.
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