

on his independent 1971 that defined the P vs. NP. By the time Levin's paper came out, P vs. NP had already become a computing's important question.

magliozzo, in a classic 1995 described five worlds with degrees of possibilities for the problem:

Technica: $P = NP$ or something equivalent," such as fast algorithms for NP.

Practica: NP problems are hard in worst case but easy on average.

Land: We can easily create problems, but not hard NP where we know the solution.

Worst of all possible worlds, we can neither solve hard problems nor do we get any cryptographic advantage from any of these problems.

Crypt: Cryptographic one-way functions exist, but we do not have any cryptography.

Cryptomania: Public-key cryptography is possible—that is, two parties can exchange secret messages over channels.

worlds are purposely not formed but rather suggest the possibilities given our knowledge of the P vs. NP problem. In belief, though not universal, we live in Cryptomania.

magliozzo draws upon a "you can't have it all" from P vs. NP theory: you can either solve hard NP problems or have cryptography, but you can't have both (you can have both). Perhaps, though, we are in a de facto *Optiland*. Advances in machine learning and optimization in both software and hardware are allowing us to make progress on problems long thought to be impossible—from voice recognition to protein folding—and for the most part, our cryptographic protocols remain secure.

A question called "What if $P=NP$?" in a 2009 survey,¹³ I wrote, "Learning is easy by using the principle of Occam's razor—we simply find the shortest program consistent with the near-perfect vision recognition and language comprehension and

translation, and all other learning tasks become trivial. We will also have much better predictions of weather and earthquakes and other natural phenomenon."

Today, you can use face-scanning to unlock your smartphone, talk to the device to ask it a question and often get a reasonable answer, or have your question translated into a different language. Your phone receives alerts about weather and other climatic events, with far better predictions than we would have thought possible just a dozen years ago. Meanwhile, cryptography has gone mostly unscathed beyond brute-force-like attacks on small key lengths. Now let's look at how recent advances in computing, optimization, and learning are leading us to *Optiland*.

Solving Hard Problems

In 2016, Bill Cook (no relation to Steve) and his colleagues decided to tackle the following challenge:⁹ How do you visit every pub in the U.K. in the shortest distance possible? They made a list of 24,727 pubs and created the ultimate pub crawl, a walking trip that spanned 45,495,239 meters—approximately 28,269 miles—a bit longer than walking around the earth.

Cook had cheated a bit, eliminating some pubs to keep the size reasonable. After some press coverage in the U.K.,⁷ many complained about missing their favorite watering holes. Cook and company went back to work, building up the list to 49,687 pubs. The new tour length would be 63,739,687 meters, or about 39,606 miles (see Figure). One needs just a 40% longer walk to reach more than twice as many pubs. The pub crawl is just a traveling salesman problem, one of the most famous of the NP-complete problems. The number of possible tours through all the 49,687 pubs is roughly three followed by 211,761 zeros. Of course, Cook's computers don't search the whole set of tours but use a variety of optimization techniques. Even more impressive, the tour comes with a proof of optimality based on linear program duality.

Taking on a larger task, Cook and company aimed to find the shortest tour through more than two million stars where distances could be computed. Their tour of 28,884,456 parsecs is within a mere 683 parsecs of optimal.

Beyond Traveling Salesman, we have seen major advances in solving satisfiability and mixed-integer programming—a variation of linear programming where some, but not necessarily all, of the variables are required to be integers. Using highly refined heuristics, fast processors, specialized hardware, and distributed cloud computing, one can often solve problems that arise in practice with tens of thousands of variables and hundreds of thousands or even millions of constraints.

Faced with an NP problem to solve, one can often formulate the problem

as a satisfiability or mixed-integer programming question and throw it at one of the top solvers. These tools have been used successfully in verification and automated testing of circuits and code, computational biology, system security, product and packaging design, financial trading, and even to solve some difficult mathematical problems.

Data Science and Machine Learning

Any reader of *Communications* and most everyone else cannot dismiss the transformative effects of machine

Shortest route through 49,687 U.K. pubs. Used by permission. (<http://www.math.uwaterloo.ca/tsp/uk>).

