Software Metrics

Software Engineering

Definitions

- Measure quantitative indication of extent, amount, dimension, capacity, or size of some attribute of a product or process.
 Number of errors
- *Metric* quantitative measure of degree to which a system, component or process possesses a given attribute. "A handle or guess about a given attribute."
 - Number of errors found per person hours expended

Why Measure Software?

- Determine quality of the current product or process
- Predict qualities of a product/process
- Improve quality of a product/process

Example Metrics

- · Defects rates
- Errors rates
- · Measured by:
 - individual
 - module
 - during development
- Errors should be categorized by origin, type, cost

Metric Classification

- Products
 - Explicit results of software development activities.
 - Deliverables, documentation, by products
- Processes
 - Activities related to production of software
- Resources
 - Inputs into the software development activities
 - hardware, knowledge, people

Product vs. Process

- · Process Metrics-
 - Insights of process paradigm, software engineering tasks, work product, or milestones.
 - Lead to long term process improvement.
- · Product Metrics-
 - Assesses the state of the project

 - Track potential risks
 Uncover problem areas
 - Adjust workflow or tasks
 - Evaluate teams ability to control quality

Types of Measures

- Direct Measures (internal attributes)
 Cost, effort, LOC, speed, memory
- Indirect Measures (external attributes)
 Functionality, quality, complexity, efficiency, reliability, maintainability

Size Oriented Metrics

- Size of the software produced
- Lines Of Code (LOC)
- 1000 Lines Of Code KLOC
- Effort measured in person months
- Errors/KLOC
- Defects/KLOC
- Cost/LOC
- Documentation Pages/KLOC
- LOC is programmer & language dependent

LOC Metrics

- · Easy to use
- Easy to compute
- Can compute LOC of existing systems but cost and requirements traceability may be lost
- Language & programmer dependent

Function Oriented Metrics

- Function Point Analysis [Albrecht '79, '83]
- International Function Point Users Group (IFPUG)
- Indirect measure
- Derived using empirical relationships based on countable (direct) measures of the software system (domain and requirements)

Computing Functions Points

- Number of user inputs
 Distinct input from user
- Number of user outputs
- Reports, screens, error messages, etcNumber of user inquiries
- On line input that generates some result
- Number of files
 - Logical file (database)
- Number of external interfaces
 - Data files/connections as interface to other systems

Compute Function Points

- FP = Total Count * [0.65 + .01*Sum(F_i)]
- Total count is all the counts times a weighting factor that is determined for each organization via empirical data
- F_i (i=1 to 14) are complexity adjustment values

Complexity Adjustment

- Does the system require reliable backup and recovery?
- Are data communications required?
- Are there distributed processing functions?
- Is performance critical?
- Will the system run in an existing heavily utilized operational environment?
- Does the system require on-line data entry?
- Does the online data entry require the input transaction to be built over multiple screens or operations?

Complexity Adjustment (cont)

- Are the master files updated on line?
- Are the inputs, outputs, files, or inquiries complex?
- Is the internal processing complex?
- Is the code designed to be reusable?
- Are conversions and installations included in the design?
- Is the system designed for multiple installations in different organizations?
- Is the application designed to facilitate change and ease of use by the user?

Using FP

- Errors per FP
- Defects per FP
- Cost per FP
- Pages of documentation per FP
- FP per person month

FΡ	and	Lang	uages
----	-----	------	-------

Language	LOC/FP		
Assembly	320		
С	128		
COBOL	106		
FORTRAN	106		
Pascal	90 64		
C++			
Ada	53		
VB	32		
SQL	12		

Using FP

- FP and LOC based metrics have been found to be relatively accurate predictors of effort and cost
- Need a baseline of historical information to use them properly
- Language dependent
- Productivity factors: People, problem, process, product, and resources
- FP can not be reverse engineered from existing systems easily

Complexity Metrics

- LOC a function of complexity
- Language and programmer dependent
- Halstead's Software Science (entropy measures)
 - n1 number of distinct operators
 - n₂ number of distinct operands
 - N1 total number of operators
 - $-N_2$ total number of operands

Example
<pre>if (k < 2) { if (k > 3) x = x*k; }</pre>
 Distinct operators: if () { } > < = * ; Distinct operands: k 2 3 x n₁ = 10 n₂ = 4 N₁ = 13 N₂ = 7

Halstead's Metrics

- Amenable to experimental verification [1970s]
- Length: $N = N_1 + N_2$
- Vocabulary: $n = n_1 + n_2$
- Estimated length: $\hat{N} = n_1 \log_2 n_1 + n_2 \log_2 n_2$ Close estimate of length for well structured programs
- Purity ratio: $PR = \hat{N}/N$

Program Complexity

• Volume: V = N log₂ n

- Number of bits to provide a unique designator for each of the n items in the program vocabulary.
- Program effort: E=V/L
 - L = V*/V
 - V* is the volume of most compact design implementation
 This is a good measure of program understandability

McCabe's Complexity Measures

- McCabe's metrics are based on a control flow representation of the program.
- A program graph is used to depict control flow.
- Nodes represent processing tasks (one or more code statements)
- Edges represent control flow between nodes



Cyclomatic Complexity

- Set of independent paths through the graph (basis set)
- V(G) = E − N + 2
 - E is the number of flow graph edges
 - N is the number of nodes
- V(G) = P + 1
 - P is the number of predicate nodes

Example

```
i = 0;
while (i<n-1) do
    j = i + 1;
while (j<n) do
    if A[i]<A[j] then
        swap(A[i], A[j]);
end do;
i=i+1;
end do;
```



Computing V(G)

- V(G) = 9 7 + 2 = 4
- V(G) = 3 + 1 = 4
- Basis Set
 - 1, 7
 - 1, 2, 6, 1, 7 - 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 2, 6, 1, 7
 - -1, 2, 3, 5, 2, 6, 1, 7
 - 1, 2, 0, 0, 2, 0, 1, 1





Meaning

- V(G) is the number of (enclosed) regions/areas of the planar graph
- Number of regions increases with the number of decision paths and loops.
- A quantitative measure of testing difficulty and an indication of ultimate reliability
- Experimental data shows value of V(G) should be no more then 10. Testing is very difficulty above this value.

McClure's Complexity Metric

- Complexity = C + V
 - C is the number of comparisons in a module
 - V is the number of control variables
 - referenced in the module
- Similar to McCabe's but with regard to control variables.

Metrics and Software Quality

FURPS

- · Functionality features of system
- Usability aesthesis, documentation
- Reliability frequency of failure, security
- Performance speed, throughput
- Supportability maintainability

Measures of Software Quality

- Correctness
 - Defects/KLOC
 - Defect is a verified lack of conformance to requirements
 - Failures/hours of operation
- · Maintainability
 - Mean time to change Change request to new version (Analyze, design etc)
 Cost to correct
- Integrity

 Fault tolerance, security & threats
- Usability
 - Training time, skill level necessary to use, Increase in productivity, subjective questionnaire or controlled experiment





High level Design Metrics

- Structural Complexity
- Data Complexity
- System Complexity
- Card & Glass '80
- Structural Complexity S(i) of a module i.
 S(i) = f_{out}²(i)
 - Fan out is the number of modules immediately subordinate (directly invoked).

Design Metrics

- Data Complexity D(i)
 - $D(i) = v(i)/[f_{out}(i)+1]$
 - v(i) is the number of inputs and outputs passed to and from i.
- System Complexity C(i)
 - -C(i) = S(i) + D(i)
 - As each increases the overall complexity of the architecture increases.

System Complexity Metric

- Another metric:
 - length(i) * [f_{in}(i) + f_{out}(i)]²
 - Length is LOC
 - Fan in is the number of modules that invoke i.
- · Graph based:
 - Nodes + edges
 - Modules + lines of control
 - Depth of tree, arc to node ratio

Coupling

- · Data and control flow
 - d_i input data parameters
 - ci input control parameters - d_o output data parameters
 - c_o output control parameters
- Global
- - g_d global variables for data $-g_c$ global variables for control
- Environmental
 - w fan in number of modules called
 - r fan out number modules that call module

Metrics for Coupling

- M_c = k/m, k=1
 - $-\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{d}_{\mathrm{i}} + \mathbf{a}\mathbf{c}_{\mathrm{i}} + \mathbf{d}_{\mathrm{o}} + \mathbf{b}\mathbf{c}_{\mathrm{o}} + \mathbf{g}_{\mathrm{d}} + \mathbf{c}\mathbf{g}_{\mathrm{c}} + \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{r}$ - a, b, c, k can be adjusted based on actual data

Component Level Metrics

- Cohesion (internal interaction)
- Coupling (external interaction)
- Complexity of program flow
- Cohesion difficult to measure
 - Bieman '94, TSE 20(8)
 - Data slice from a program slice

Using Metrics

• The Process

- Select appropriate metrics for problem
- Utilized metrics on problem
- Assessment and feedback
- Formulate
- Collect
- Analysis
- Interpretation
- Feedback

Metrics for the Object Oriented

- Chidamber & Kemerer '94 TSE 20(6)
- Metrics specifically designed to address
 object oriented software
- · Class oriented metrics
- Direct measures

Weighted Methods per Class

WMC = $\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i$

- *c_i* is the complexity (e.g., volume, cyclomatic complexity, etc.) of each method
- Must normalize
- What about inherited methods? – Be consistent

Depth of Inheritance Tree

- DIT is the maximum length from a node to the root (base class)
- Lower level subclasses inherit a number of methods making behavior harder to predict
- However, more methods are reused in higher DIT trees.

Number of Children

- NOC is the number of subclasses immediately subordinate to a class
- As NOC grows, reuse increases
- But the abstraction may be diluted

Coupling between Classes

- CBO is the number of collaborations
 between two classes
- As collaboration increases reuse decreases
- CRC lists the number of collaborations – Classes, Responsibilities, and Collaborations

Response for a Class

- RFC is the number of methods that could be called in response to a message to a class
- Testing effort increases as RFC increases

Lack of Cohesion in Methods

- LCOM poorly described in Pressman
- Class C_k with *n* methods M_1, \dots, M_n
- I_j is the set of instance variables used by M_j

LCOM

- There are *n* such sets $I_1, ..., I_n$ - $P = \{(I_i, I_j) | (I_i \cap I_j) = \emptyset\}$
 - $-Q = \{(I_i, I_j) \mid (I_i \cap I_j) \neq \emptyset\}$
- If all *n* sets I_i are \emptyset then $P = \emptyset$
- LCOM = |P| |Q|, if |P| > |Q|
- LCOM = 0 otherwise

Example LCOM

- Take class C with M_1 , M_2 , M_3
- *I*₁ = {a, b, c, d, e}
- *I*₂ = {a, b, e}
- $I_3 = \{x, y, z\}$
- $P = \{(I_1, I_3), (I_2, I_3)\}$

•
$$Q = \{(I_1, I_2)\}$$

• Thus LCOM = 1

Explanation

- LCOM is the number of empty intersections minus the number of nonempty intersections
- This is a notion of degree of similarity of methods.
- If two methods use common instance variables then they are similar
- · LCOM of zero is not maximally cohesive
- |P| = |Q| or |P| < |Q|

Class Size

• CS

- Total number of operations (inherited, private, public)
- Number of attributes (inherited, private, public)
- May be an indication of too much responsibility for a class

Number of Operations Overridden

- NOO
- A large number for NOO indicates possible problems with the design
- Poor abstraction in inheritance hierarchy

Number of Operations Added

- NOA
- The number of operations added by a subclass
- As operations are added it is farther away from super class
- As depth increases NOA should decrease

Specialization Index

SI = [NOO * L] / M_{total}

- L is the level in class hierarchy
- $\mathbf{M}_{\text{total}}$ is the total number of methods
- Higher values indicate class in hierarchy that does not conform to the abstraction

Method Inheritance Factor

$$\mathsf{MIF} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} M_i(C_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} M_a(C_i)}$$

.

- $M_i(C_i)$ is the number of methods inherited and not overridden in C_i
- $M_a(C_i)$ is the number of methods that can be invoked with C_i
- $M_{\text{d}}(C_{\text{i}})$ is the number of methods declared in C_{i}

MIF

•
$$M_a(C_i) = M_d(C_i) + M_i(C_i)$$

- All that can be invoked = new or overloaded + things inherited
- MIF is [0,1]
- MIF near 1 means little specialization
- MIF near 0 means large change

Coupling Factor

$$\mathsf{CF} = \frac{\sum_{i} \sum_{j} is_client(C_i, C_j)}{(TC^2 - TC)}$$

- is_client(x,y) = 1 iff a relationship exists between the client class and the server class. 0 otherwise.
- (TC²-TC) is the total number of relationships possible (Total Classes² diagonal)
- CF is [0,1] with 1 meaning high coupling

Polymorphism Factor

$$\mathsf{PF} = \frac{\sum_{i} M_{o}(C_{i})}{\sum_{i} [M_{n}(C_{i}) * DC(C_{i})]}$$

- M_n() is the number of new methods
- M_o() is the number of overriding methods
- DC() number of descendent classes of a base class
- The number of methods that redefines inherited methods, divided by maximum number of possible distinct polymorphic situations

Operational Oriented Metrics

- Average operation size (LOC, volume)
- Number of messages sent by an operator
- Operation complexity cyclomatic
- Average number of parameters/operation

 Larger the number the more complex the collaboration

Encapsulation

- · Lack of cohesion
- · Percent public and protected
- Public access to data members

Inheritance

- Number of root classes
- Fan in multiple inheritance
- NOC, DIT, etc.